

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(1999) KLR VOL 12 PART 92 pp. 2967 - 3108**

**DECEMBER 1999**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

### **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

#### **DECEMBER CONTD.**

6. Ogiugo v. Ogiugo p. 2967
7. Okino v. Obanebira p. 3007
8. Abey v. Alex p. 3051
9. Tigris International Corporation v. Ege Shipping & Trading  
Industry Inco. p. 3079

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 12 KLR**

**ACTIONS** - Chieftaincy - Hereditary stool - Causes of action - Survival of - The action survived the dead Parties. *Ogiugo v. Ogiugo* p. 2967

**ACTIONS** - Dismissal - In limine - Application - To dismiss an action in limine at the Federal High Court - Which did not challenge the jurisdiction of the court - Such an application is in the nature of a demurrer. *Tigris Int. Corp. v. Ege Shipping & Trading Ind. Inco.* p. 3079

**ACTIONS** - Out of Court settlement - Breach of out of court settlement agreement - Cause of action would no longer be founded on any purported previous right of ownership - But on the alleged breach of the agreement. *Abey v. Alex* p. 3051

**APPEALS** - Court of Appeal, Act 1976 - The provision of section 11 thereof - Cover cases where all the justices that sat on an appeal - Are still in the service of that court on the day of judgment - And had written and signed their judgments. *Okino v. Obanebira* p. 3007

**APPEALS** - Customary law - Customary Courts Rules, 1978 - Order 10 rule 6(6) - By that provision where there is no fresh evidence in rebuttal of the Customary law in the Customary Court of Appeal - That Court should not have reversed the decision of the Area customary court on appropriate Customary law. *Ogiugo v. Ogiugo* p. 2967

**APPEALS** - Evidence - Evaluation of - Where a trial court unquestionably evaluates the evidence - And there is sufficient evidence on record to support the findings of fact made - The appeal court cannot interfere. *Okino v. Obanebira* p. 3007

**APPEALS** - Judgment - Court of Appeal Act 1976 - Pursuant to section 11 thereof - It is not necessary for all the three justices - Who heard an appeal at the Court of Appeal - To be present together in court on the day of the delivery of judgment - The opinion of any one of them who is absent - May be read by any other justice of that Court. *Okino v. Obanebira* p.

3007

**APPEALS** - Judgments - Opinion of an absent justice - Read as against being pronounced after he had ceased to be a member of such relevant court - Such an opinion would be given without jurisdiction - But the situation in the present case is different. Okino v. Obanebira p. 3007

**CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS** - Bini Customary law chieftaincy stool - Primogeniture - Procedure for the selection of an Enogie - Where there is no surviving male child - The Principle of primogeniture is not strictly applied. Ogiugo v. Ogiugo p. 2967

**CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS** - Bini Customary law - Stool of Ogiugo - Necessary traditional requirements for appointment to the Stool. Ogiugo v. Ogiugo p. 2967

**COURTS** - Findings of fact - Where dependent on documentary evidence - Trial judge is to test the reliability of the oral evidence - Against the documentary evidence. Abey v. Alex p. 3051

**CUSTOMARY LAW** - Bini Customary law - Customary Court - It is only the members of the trial Customary Court - That can state the appropriate customary law from their personal knowledge. Ogiugo v. Ogiugo p. 2967

**JUDGMENTS** - Appeals - Opinion of absent justice of the Court of appeal - Section 258(2) of the 1979 Constitution - Contrasted with Section 11 of the Court of Appeal Act, 1976. Okino v. Obanebira p. 3007

**JUDGMENTS** - Out of court settlement - Previous unexecuted judgments - Shall be deemed compromised - As a result of a subsequent out of court settlement. Abey v. Alex p. 3051

**LAND LAW** - Boundary - Trespass - Alleged by the plaintiffs - They would have to prove that the trespass did take place - In contravention of

the new boundary. Abey v. Alex p. 3051

**LAND LAW** - Trespass - Alleged against the appellants - Where not proved from the evidence - Appellants cannot be found liable. Abey v. Alex p. 3051

**MAXIMS** - Omnia Praesumuntur rite esse acta - Presumption of regularity - Is applicable in the instance case - And the judgment in contention is therefore unimpeachable. Okino v. Obanebira p. 3007

**ORDERS** - Retrial - Order of - When it is not appropriate to make the order. Okino v. Obanebira p. 3007

**PRACTICE & PROCEDURE** - Demurrer - Application - In such an application it is presumed that all the facts pleaded by the plaintiff are correct - What the defendants who dispute any of such facts should do. Tigris Int. Corp. v. Ege Shipping & Trading Ind. Inco. p. 3079

**PRACTICE & PROCEDURE** - Pleadings - Amendment suo motu by court - Was proper in the present case - As it did not entail injustice to the other party. Abey v. Alex p. 3051

**PRACTICE & PROCEDURE** - Pleadings - Defence - The proper way to dispute an averment of fact - Made in a Statement of claim. Tigris Int. Corp. v. Ege Shipping & Trading Ind. Inco. p. 3079

**WORDS & PHRASES** - The word “pronounced” - Under s. 258 (2) of the 1979 Constitution - The judicial interpretation of the word. Okino v. Obanebira p. 3007

# **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

vii

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979; ss 226 and 258 (2)  
Okino v. Obanebira p. 3007

Court of Appeal Act; 1976, ss. 9 and 11. Okino v. Obanebira p. 3007

Customary Court Edict No. 2 of 1984; ss. 22 (a), 25(6) and 68 Ogiugo  
v. Ogiugo p. 2967

Customary Court Rules, of Bendel State 1978, Q 10 r 6 (3), (5) and (6)  
Ogiugo v. Ogiugo p. 2967